



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

favorable moment. This will be a personal matter and we'll get to it all in good time. After that you will be a booster. All your friends know that you are interested in birds. May they not also know that you are interested in the success of the California bird-book? We are going to succeed, of course; but success will mean so much more to us if we can all share it. Thank you.

W. LEON DAWSON  
*Santa Barbara, February 20, 1911.*

#### PUBLICATIONS REVIEWED

MILLER ON FOSSIL BIRDS OF CALIFORNIA AND OREGON.—Mr. Loye Holmes Miller is continuing his studies upon prehistoric birds, remains of which are becoming available in remarkable quantity through the work of the University of California department of Paleontology under the direction of Dr. John C. Merriam. Since our last notice of Miller's work (*CONDOR* XII, January 1910, p. 48) three more papers have appeared. In each case the well-chosen title gives a clear idea of the contents of the paper.

The first article deals with the "Wading Birds from the Quarternary Asphalt Beds of Rancho la Brea" (*Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol.* V, August 5, 1910, pp. 439-448, figs. 1-8). Contrary to expectation wading birds are found to be but poorly represented in the Rancho la Brea beds, located near Los Angeles. But five species have so far been found, and of these only seventeen individuals are represented. Fourteen of these individuals are referred to the subfamily *Ciconiinae*, which is at present foreign to the region. *Ciconia maltha*, not distantly related to the White Stork of the Old World, is described as new. The other member of the subfamily is the Jabiru (*Jabiru mycteria*). Of the cranes (*Gruidae*) both *Grus canadensis*, and a newly described species related to it, *Grus minor*, were found; and of the herons (*Ardeidae*) only *Ardea herodias*.

In the next paper Miller treats of "the Condor-like Vultures of Rancho la Brea" (*Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol.* VI, November 28, 1910, pp. 1-19, figs. 1 a and 1 b to 5 a and 5 b). The abundance of the remains of these huge scavenging birds is accounted for by the author on the ground that the Quarternary mammalian fauna in this region was abundant, remains of both herbivorous and carnivorous species of large size being numerous in the same beds. The asphalt furnished a trap for these beasts, and the carcasses of these in turn lured the vultures to their doom. The keen senses of the birds, both of sight and of smell, were doubtless effective at great distances, and thus toll was taken from a large area. The relatively large number of vulturine representatives might thus be in part explained. Only one of the four species to which the material is referred exists at the present time; this is the California Condor (*Gymnogyps californianus*),

represented by a series of fourteen fossil tarsi. *Sarcophampus clarki* is described as new and most nearly related to the Andean Condor. Quite different from either of the above are *Cathartornis gracilis* and *Pleistogyps rex*, both genus and species being newly named in each case. These are of larger size than either of the existing condors; in fact *Pleistogyps*, because of its great size and the fact that it is represented only by tarsi, while *Teratornis* was described from skull and pectoral girdle, arouses the suspicion that it might, indeed, be identified with *Teratornis*. The author arrives at his decision to the contrary by carefully weighing the various considerations concerned with such a problem. The reader is left impressed with the conclusiveness of the author's argument. All the way through, the present paper is notable for detailed, osteological study and cautious but imaginative inferential reasoning.

The third paper contributes "Additions to the Avifauna of the Pleistocene Deposits at Fossil Lake, Oregon" (*Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol.* VI, February 4, 1911, pp. 79-87, figs. 1-3). This deposit had been previously pretty thoroughly exploited by Shufeldt. In Miller's paper, three forms are recorded, not mentioned by Shufeldt, and one of these, *Aechmophorus lucasi*, is described as new. A summarized list of all the species of the avifauna is given. This otherwise excellent paper is marred by numerous mis-spelled words, a feature doubtless deplored by all concerned with the publication of the paper, but due to a fortuitous lapse of the pen or mind to which no one appears to be wholly immune.—J. G.

NOTES ON THE PASSENGER PIGEON, by W. J. McGEE (*Science*, n. s., vol. XXXII, no. 835, December 30, 1910, pp. 958-964).

It is not at all probable that ornithologists will regard seriously the statement of Mr. McGee that the Passenger Pigeon is still to be found in abundance in southern Arizona, in the extremely arid desert region between Nogales and Yuma. Had the pigeon sought the seclusion of the desert for a respite from incessant persecution, it is at least probable that some one of the numerous collectors that have explored the region would have secured a specimen at some time. Such has not been the case, nor did the naturalists accompanying the United States Mexican Boundary Survey report their occurrence in that region, though in 1894 they visited the exact spot where Mr. McGee claims to have seen the birds (Tinajas Altas). As he was quite evidently unable to distinguish between the California and Gambel Quails we are probably safe in assuming that he mistook some other species for the Passenger Pigeon.—H. S. S.

TRACY ON THE "SIGNIFICANCE OF WHITE MARKINGS IN BIRDS OF THE ORDER PASSERI-

FORMES" (Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. VI, December 1910, pp. 285-312).—Mr. Henry Chester Tracy under the above title adds an unusually important contribution to both fact and theory relative to the general subject of adaptive coloration. The province particularly dealt with is that of so-called directive markings, which term has been employed in explaining a type of coloration where white or light patches are conspicuously contrasted with black or dark areas. This theory, of the directive function of contrasted markings, has recently been unqualifiedly condemned by A. H. Thayer who has been able to see in them only an obliterative, or concealing effect. Tracy defends the directive theory most convincingly, both with argument and an array of fact, the latter derived from field observation of passerine birds. The author under review brings out incontrovertibly the remarkable correlation existing between the possession of *revealing* (a preferred substitute for the word directive) marks, the flocking habits, and use of location notes, in many birds which forage in the open. The significance of this correlation is self-evident.

A fundamental point emphasized by Tracy is the usual association of *motion* with the optimum display of contrasted markings. Perfect quiet on the part of a bird possessing such a pattern might in truth result in obliteration against a checkered background; but quick movement, as when the bird takes flight, brings the same pattern to the instant attention of the observer. In other words the function of concealing might be subserved by the coloration of a bird *at rest*, when the same coloration would render the bird conspicuous *in motion*.

Tracy's attitude throughout is modest and conservative. Although he clearly holds definite views, he presents these always tentatively, giving the reader a fair chance to weigh the evidence pro and con. The paper in hand is well worth careful study by every observer of birds. Data contributory to the solution of problems of this nature are probably to be derived chiefly from observation of the living animal under natural conditions. The devotee of field ornithology will find here one way in which part of his horde of facts can be of use in a large field of philosophic inquiry.—J. G.

BIRDS AND MAMMALS OF NORTHWESTERN COLORADO, BY A. H. FELGER. [The University of Colorado Studies, vol. VII, no. 2, January, 1910, pp. 132-146.]

The report deals mainly with the species seen on an expedition into northwestern Colorado, August 1 to September 4, 1909, but includes as well "those reported on good authority from the region," the birds amounting altogether to 133 species. The annotations relate principally to the manner and place of occurrence of the species observed. Considered as the result of observations made during a

single month the list is a long one; as a list of the birds occurring in that part of Colorado it is evidently incomplete, judging from statements in the introduction. It is hard to tell in which category the author wished it to fall. To the reviewer the practice of including in such publications species which were *not* encountered but which the author believes should occur there seems objectionable. To take a particular instance in the present paper, under *Otocoris alpestris leucoaema* the only statement made is that "not a single bird of this common species was seen on the whole trip." If none were seen why is it considered a common species, or why is it entered at all?

The paper will be of undoubtedly value to any one studying the distribution of birds in Colorado, but such a student will be forced to ignore a number of the records.—H. S. S.

THE TERRESTRIAL | MAMMALS AND BIRDS | OF NORTHEAST GREENLAND | Biological Observations | by | A. L. V. MANNICHE (=Danish Expedition to Northeast Greenland, 1906-1908, vol. v, no. 1; 1910; pp. 1-200, figs. 1-20, pls. I-VII).

For two years the author of the paper under notice was stationed on the northeast coast of Greenland at lat. 76° 46'. The immediate vicinity of his permanent quarters fortunately proved to be surprisingly prolific of animal life, more so than any other parts of the adjacent region which were visited at different seasons by other members of the expedition. Dr. Manniche devoted his attention to a biological study of the neighborhood, and the present report on the eight species of mammals and thirty-eight of birds is proof of close observation and discriminating judgment.

Confining our attention to the portion of the work relating to birds, some 100 pages, we find exceedingly interesting accounts given of the breeding habits of such far northern visitors as the Knot, Sanderling and Ivory Gull. Eggs of the latter two were found. Although no eggs of the Knot were actually secured, close observation of the birds throughout the breeding season was possible. The account of the ptarmigan shows strikingly close agreement with the facts recorded of the Rock Ptarmigan of Alaska. The author shows a clear conception of the molt-processes, until not so very long ago obscurely understood. The courting and nidification of the Red Phalarope is most entertainingly narrated. Those interested in the problem of sexual coloration will find here some facts of significant bearing.

The paper in hand is altogether of a biological and faunistic nature. Although brief descriptive notes on the specimens secured are presented, there is no evidence of close systematic enquiry. The nomenclature is scarcely recognizable from the standpoint of the A. O. U. Check-List, and no attention is given to subspecific distinctions. Thus the ptarmigan is "*Lagopus mutus*", with no reference to *L. rupestris reinhardi*. However, this cannot be emphasized as a fault, when the whole paper is avowedly concerned only with ecology and biography.—J. G.